

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	.] 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,944		04/05/2001	Robert Mann Bradbury	912.39939X00	4370	
20457	7590	04/30/2004		EXAMINER		
		RY, STOUT & K	KEENAN,	KEENAN, JAMES W		
SUITE 180		NICENIH SIKECI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGT	ON, VA	22209-9889	3652			

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	,	Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		09/825,944		BRADBURY ET AL.	\sim					
		Examiner		Art Unit						
		James Keer	an	3652						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply precided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the total provided the set of extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, bly within the statutor I will apply and will ex te, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days cpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONEE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 F</u>	ebruary 2004.								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-27 and 30-34</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>19-27</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13-18,30 and 32-34</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>12,28 and 31</u> is/are objected to.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen										
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	52)					

Application/Control Number: 09/825,944 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/9/04 has been entered.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5-11, 13-15, 17-18, 30, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinchcliffe et al (US 4,303,366) in view of Lodi et al (US 4,575,301), both previously cited.

This rejection is set forth in prior Office action, paper no. 15, paragraph 3.

4. Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmermund (US 3,190,478, of record) in view of Lodi et al.

This rejection is set forth in prior Office action, paper no. 15, paragraph 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,944

Art Unit: 3652

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinchcliffe et al in view of Lodi et al, as applied to claims 13 or 14 above, and further in view of Hinchcliffe et al (US 3,985,252, of record).

Page 3

This rejection is set forth in prior Office action, paper no. 15, paragraph 5.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Hinchcliffe nor Schmermund teach independent linear and rotational movement, and thus even when combined with the Lodi et al patent, do not result in the invention as claimed. Applicant asserts that the rotational and linear movements of the base references are linked since one movement must be completed before the other is started. While this may be true, it does not mean that the movements themselves are not independently controlled, as broadly claimed. Clearly, each reference shows means for separately (and thus independently) providing the linear and rotational movements. It seems as though applicant is actually arguing that to be independently controlled, the movement means must inherently be able to operate concurrently as well. But if this were the case, there would be no reason to claim both independently controlled and concurrently operated moving means. Therefore, it is not felt that the term "independently controlled" precludes sequential linear and rotational movements, as long as those movements are accomplished by separate means.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,944 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

7. Claims 12, 28, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 8. Claims 19-27 are allowed.
- 9. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP §706.07(b).

 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,944 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 703-308-2559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ames Keenan Primary Examiner

jwk 4/29/04